



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_9029
Title of the Manuscript:	Maize response to competition from Speargrass (<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> (L.) Raeuschel) regrowth from planted rhizomes II: Competitive Relationship-Relative Yield and Relative Yield Total.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Accept manuscript for publication after minor revision is done as suggested below. 1. Title Page 1; Line 2-5: The title expresses in clear terms the essence of the research but it is somewhat wordy. 2. Abstract Page 1; Line 10-11: The abstract is rather lengthy with over 380 words, please shorten accordingly. 3. Introduction Page 3; Line 17: Grammar suggestion: " important staple food for more....." Overall, the introduction is well presented and the objective clearly state. 4. Methodology Page 7; Line 129: Grammar suggestion: "regrowth of maize was portioned as follows" 5. Statistical Analysis The right software is used which provides adequate means of comparing data generated	Title has been reviewed Abstract reduced to 269 words Grammar suggestion accepted Grammar suggestion accepted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Results Page 7-8; Lines 148-171: Rewording of this section will make easier to read and understand. The words 'however' and 'similarly' have been over-used and an instance misplaced e.g. Lines 148-151. However, tables and figures are mentioned appropriately for clear understanding.	Suggestion accepted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		